UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,666	01/28/2005	Peter Scheibli	4-22732/A/PCT	8590
	7590 03/20/200 NTERNATIONAL LL	EXAMINER		
LEGAL DEPAI	RTMENT OCH FOREST DRIVI	KHAN, AMINA S		
	ANDS, TX 77380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/522,666	SCHEIBLI, PETE	SCHEIBLI, PETER			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		AMINA KHAN	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTED IN CORPORATION OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MAILING INSIDE OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the process of the maximum statutory period reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this of the company of				
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	December 2007					
•		is action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
	on Papers	4					
	•						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ ad	· · ·					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application _·				

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

- 1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendments filed on December 21, 2007.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are pending. Claim 1 has been amended.
- 3. The objection to the specification is withdrawn.
- 4. The rejections of claims 1 and 4-10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Donenfeld (US 4,576,610) are withdrawn.
- 5. The rejection of claim 3 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Donenfeld (US 4,576,610) in view of in view of Yamane et al. (US 4,210,412) is withdrawn.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1 and 2 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,284,004 in view of Donenfeld (US 4,576,610) is withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1796

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived

by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1,2 and 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over

Donenfeld (US 4,576,610) in view of Fukui et al. (US 5,529,586).

Donenfeld et al. teach applying 27% polyester resin, 10% acrylate binder and 9%

glycerin for lubrication to a release paper on which a sublimable dye has been coated

and printing 50:50 polyester:cotton fabrics by applying the free face of the dye bonding

composition to the fabric and applying heat to maintain the assembly at about 440°F for

10 minutes (column 9 and 10, examples 1-4).

Donenfeld teaches printing cotton and cotton/polyester blends with compositions

comprising water, polyester resins and acrylic dye binders, in a weight ratio such that

more than 50% of the combined weight of polyester resin and dye binder is resin, to

provide a fabric with a soft hand (column 1, line 60-68; column 2, lines 1,25-30 and 65-

68; column 5, lines 27-35; column 7, lines 15-21; column 6, line 32). Donenfeld further

teaches that azo disperse dyes are preferred as sublimatable components (column 7,

lines 30-35). Donenfeld further teaches applying the dye bonding composition to the

fabric and then applying a conventional sublimation dye (column 14, lines 1-10).

Donenfeld further teaches applying to a paper based release paper the dye bonding

composition and then the dye composition and then a second layer of dye bonding composition (columns 14 and 15, examples 21 and 22).

Regarding the limitations of drying the pretreating solution followed by condensing the polymer, as claimed in claim 6, this would inherently be met by examples 3 and 4 because the bonding layer first impacts the fabric and would be heated causing drying and condensing, followed by the sublimation layer contacting the fabric second.

Donenfeld is silent as to the structures of the disperse dyes and applying by exhaust or padding methods.

Fukui et al. teach coloring polyester and cotton blends with compositions comprising resins and disperse dyes of Tables 2 and 3 for sublimation transfer coloring or applying by padding onto cloth or in a dye bath with heat, which meets the limitation of exhausting (column 9, lines 1-45, columns 3-8). Fukui et al. teach these compositions produce excellent dyeings and printings with high fastness properties.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the printing methods of Donenfeld by incorporating the dyes of Tables 2 and 3 taught by Fukui because Fukui et al. teach the superior sublimation printing produced by these compounds. Furthermore, Donenfeld invites the inclusion of disperse dyes of the azo class which are satisfactorily known to bond polyester. It would have been further obvious to color the substrates by either exhausting or padding because Fukui teach these as functionally equivalent ways of treating fabrics.

Art Unit: 1796

9. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Donenfeld (US

4,576,610) in view of Fukui et al. (US 5,529,586) and further in view of in view of

Yamane et al. (US 4,210,412).

Donenfeld and Fukui are relied upon as described in paragraph 8.

Donenfeld and Fukui do not teach crosslinking agents.

Yamane et al., in the analogous art of transfer printing, teach treating cellulosic

textiles with sublimable dispersible dyes and acrylic binders and additionally treating

with crosslinking agents to increase the color fastness to washing of the disperse dye

(column 3, lines 20-60).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to modify the printing methods of Donenfeld by incorporating the

crosslinking agents into the second layer of dye bonding agent as taught by Yamane

because Yamane et al. teach the superior disperse dye color fastness in washing of

fabrics treated with the crosslinker.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1796

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMINA KHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-5573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lorna M Douyon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Amina Khan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 March 15, 2008